UK Deans' Interprofessional Honors Colloquium

Andrea Pfeifle, EdD, PT
Center for Interprofessional HealthCare Education, Research

James C. Norton, PhD College of Medicine

Patricia Burkhart, PhD, RN College of Nursing

James Ballard, MS,ED

Center for Interprofessional HealthCare Education, Researc

Kevin Pinto, MS

BSN student

College of Nursing



History

- IPE ad hoc group formed 2007
- · Six health sciences colleges represented
- Active support of deans
- Members included associate deans, interested faculty, students, staff
- · Guiding principles
- · AHEC Program initial driver



Initial Projects

- Calendar coordination
- · Creation of a model rotation at an AHEC site
- · Creation of an IPE service learning opportunity
- Creation of an IPE elective DIHC is the response

DIHC Key Elements

- · All colleges to be represented
- Students to be chosen by Deans based on academic performance, commitment to team learning and team care
- · Registration limited
- · Would address a clinically relevant content area
- Would include active learning strategies



Initial Offering

- Had to recruit students willing to be 'selected'
- · Faculty interest was not a problem
- Some issues raised about faculty time commitment and DOE
- Faculty roles included content delivery and small group facilitation

 KENTUCKY

 KINDA

 KENTUCKY

 KINDA

 KENTUCKY

 KINDA

 KENTUCKY

 KINDA

 KIN

DIHC 2.0

- Student response has been overwhelmingly positive
- · Recruitment no longer an issue
- DIHC 2.0 created for those who want to continue with an implementation project



Description

- Interactive seminar-based forum within which to explore the characteristics and implications of interprofessional practice around one or more cross-cutting healthcare challenges
 - Childhood obesity, 2009-2010
 - HIV/Aids, 2010-2011
 - Domestic Violence, 2011-2012



Enrollees, 2009-2012

•	Communications Disorders	2
•	College of Communications	1
•	Dentistry	18
•	Medicine	30
•	Nursing	28
•	Pharmacy	15
•	Physical Therapy	17
•	Physician Assistant	18
•	Public Health (MPH, DRPH)	18
•	Social Work	<u>13</u>
		160



Competencies





Course Elements

- Seminar (4 to 5 sessions)
- Mile Marker project in teams
- Self- and Peer- Assessment
- · Interprofessional Shadowing



Core Student Assessment Elements

- Attendance
- Pre/Post Course Assessment
 - \circ Attitudes toward HealthCare Teams 1
 - $\circ \ \mathsf{UK} \ \mathsf{Interprofessional} \ \mathsf{Learning} \ \mathsf{Outcomes} \ \mathsf{Assessment}$
- Self, Peer Team Competencies Assessment
- Mile Marker Presentation
- · Reflective Writing



Funding for DIHC

- Center for Interprofessional HealthCare Education, Research & Practice
 - \circ Office of the Provost
 - \circ Office of Executive Vice President of Health Affairs at UKHC
- Health care Colleges Deans' support of faculty involvement (in-kind resource sharing)

17

Resources for DIHC

- Administrative Structure
 - Course Directors Center, College of Medicine, and College of Nursing
 - o Steering Committee College representatives
- Deans' support of faculty participants— 8
 Colleges
- · College IP champions
- · Eager, interested students



Required Skills

- Faculty dedicated to interprofessional education and practice
- Students eager to learn with, from, and about each other to improve communication, collaboration and patient care outcomes
- Faculty development related to interprofessional delivery models



Evaluation

- UK Instrument
 - Self-reported Pre/Post attitudinal change
 - o Students rate interactions with other professions
- Attitudes Toward Health Care Teams Scale (ATHCT) ¹



Evaluation Plan

- Map instrumentation to the IP Core Competencies²
- Pedagogy is content neutral



UK Instrument: Relationship to IP Core Competencies (cite)

- I. Values and Ethics
- II. Roles and Responsibilities
- IV. Team and Teamwork



Attitudes Toward Health Care Teams Scale (ATHCT)¹

- Subscales
 - o Quality of Care/Process (14 items)
 - Physician Centrality (6 items)
- Quality Scale showed significant change



Summary of Outcomes

- Pedagogy addresses domains if IP Core Competencies²
- · Not dependent on course subject matter



Student Satisfaction: Themes

- Working with students from other professions (VF)
- Understanding roles and responsibilities of other professions (RR)
- Appreciating others' point of view (CC)
- Acknowledging team approach to healthcare (TT)



Significance

- Demonstrates academic silos can be broken down efficiently
- · Team-based educational model was effective
- "In-kind" exchange of resources



Significance

- Through the looking-glass new perspectives
- Changes in students' perceptions
- Respect for other professions



Lessons Learned

- If you build it, they will come
- It takes a village
- Experience is the best teacher



Lessons Learned

- Faculty satisfaction is high
- · Student satisfaction is high
- · Keep the main thing, the main thing
- · Nothing ventured, nothing gained
- Think out side of the box



Student Perspective and Questions

References

- 1. Heinemann, G.D.. Et al., Development of an Attitudes toward Health Care Teams Scale. Eval Health Prof. 22(1): p. 123-142.
- Interprofessional Education Collaborative Expert Panel. (2011). Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: Report of an expert panel. Washington, D.C.: Interprofessional Education Collaborative.





Contact

Andrea Pfeifle, EdD, PT
Center for Interprofessional HealthCare Education, Research & Practice
Andrea pfeifle@uky.edu

James C. Norton, PhD College of Medicine

inorton@email.uky.edu

Patricia Burkhart, PhD, RN

College of Nursing pvburk2@email.uky.edu

James Ballard, MS,ED

Center for Interprofessional HealthCare Education, Research & Practice James.ballard@uky.edu

BSN student College of Nursing Participant in DIHC Kevin.Pinto@uky.edu



5