Conceptions of Learning and Teaching for Faculty who Teach Basic Science

Helena Carvalho, Department of Basic Science Education. Francis C Dane, Department of Psychology, Radford University, Shari A. Whicker, Office

of Continuing Professional Development, Carilion Clinic and Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine

Introduction

Approaches to teaching can be placed on a continuum that ranges from teacher-centric (focus on knowledge transmission) to student-centric (focus on conceptual change in students). An educator's conception of learning and teaching impacts how they approach teaching and, ultimately, how students learn. We hypothesized that faculty with more dedication and experience in teaching would be student-centric, electing to use teaching modalities that promote active learning.

Goal

Our goal was to assess faculty conceptions of learning and teaching and how they might be related to the time dedicated to teaching as well as their choice of teaching methodologies.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study using survey methodology. We collected quantitative data through an anonymous survey of 130 faculty members who taught Basic Science (BS) content in the 2018 academic year at Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine (VTCSOM).

We utilized the COLT, a published survey instrument (Jacobs et al., 2012) that includes 3 scales: 1) Teacher-centeredness (TC); 2) Appreciation of Active Learning (AL); and 3) Orientation to Professional practice (OP).

Non-identifiable demographic information such as teaching experience, degree, and preferred teaching methodologies was collected.

Instrument reliability was assessed with Cronbach's alpha. Correlation and Chi Square testing were used to examine relationships between variables and Analysis of Variance to examine group differences.



40% response rate on survey (50 out 13

Cronbach's alpha

- Teacher centred (TC) = 0.731
- Appreciation to active learning (AL) = 0
- Orientation to practice (OP) = 0.730

Subscale Correlations

- TC is negatively correlated with both AL
- AL and OP are highly correlated with eac

Demographics

- 47% female, 50% male, 3% no response
- 63% MD, 25% PhD, 5% PharmD, 7% ot
- 51% assistant, 27% associate, 20% profe
- Age from 30yo-65yo with most (43%) be

Teaching Experience

- > 10 years 62.5%
- 5-10 years 27%
- < 5 years 10%

The amount of time currently dedicating to t depending on the individual and also the time 6.0 hours (interquartile range = 18) per year.

Teaching disciplines and modalities

- 17 disciplines listed and 21 teaching mod •
- Majority faculty lecture (80%) interspace (64%) and small group discussion (26%)
- More variety of teaching styles were obse • with a lower score in TC (r = -.323, p = .0rank (r = .401; p = 0.006), and more time to p=0.001).

|) | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Overall COLT scores | Value | |
| 608 | Teacher Centred (TC) | 3.12 ± 0.6 | |
| | Appreciation for Active Learning (AL) | 4.06 ± 0.41 | |
| | Orientation to Practice (OP) | 4.2 ± 0.45 | |
| | COLT scores | | |
| 0.312) & OP (-0.197) other (0.602) | Overall BS faculty score lower in TC than AL (p < .001, partial η² = .588) regardless of gender, academic rank, degree, and teaching experience | | |
| | • Younger faculty (30-39 y.o.) scored lower than older (50-59 y.o.) on TC (F=3.29; p=.027). | | |
| er sor, 2% other ween 40-49yo. | Discussion and Conclusion Faculty appreciated active learning to a greater extent than teacher- | | |
| | centered (TC). Regardless of overall low score in TC, the majority of faculty use lectures, which are traditional faculty-centric pedagogy, but most faculty lectures are interspersed with other student-centric teaching modalities such as case-based and group discussion. | | |
| aching varied of year. Median of | Teaching experience is not related to COLT but it is correlated with choice of teaching methodology. A more experienced faculty, with higher rank and more time teaching, use more teaching modalities. Also, the use of diverse teaching methods is correlated with a lower score on teacher-centered. | | |
| lities reported. | | | |
| with case-based eved among faculty 22), a higher academic aching (r=.483; | Reference: Jacobs, J.C.G., Van Luijk, S. J., Van Berkel, H., Van C.P.M., Croiset, G., & Scheele, F. (2012). Developminstrument (the COLT) to measure conceptions on letteaching of teachers, in student-centred medical educet <i>Teacher</i>, <i>34</i>(7), e483–e491. https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.668630 | ent of an earning and | |

helena@vt.edu